

FIONA BRUCE MP



HOUSE OF COMMONS

LONDON SW1A 0AA

Mr C Howard
The Orchard
37 Dunnocksfold Road
Alsager
Cheshire, ST7 2TL

25 October 2013

Our Ref: C/MMU/ARAG/FB/SB

Please quote the reference on any correspondence

Dear Chas

In addition, I attach a copy of my contribution to the Planning Debate which took place yesterday in the House of Commons. Please pass on my thanks to ARAG for their communications prior to the debate which were most useful – as I hope they will recognise. Please be aware that we are timed on such debates – limited in this case to six minutes.

With kind regards

Yours sincerely

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Fiona".

Fiona Bruce MP
Member of Parliament for the Congleton Constituency
www.fionabruce.mp

cc R. Wakein

Serving communities across Alsager, Congleton, Goostrey, Holmes Chapel, Middlewich and Sandbach

Westminster Tel: 0207 219 7042 • Constituency Tel: 01260 274 044

Email: fiona.bruce.mp@parliament.uk • www.fionabruce.mp

FIONA BRUCE MP



HOUSE OF COMMONS

LONDON SW1A 0AA

25 October 2013

Our Ref: C/Planning/FB/LE

Please quote the reference on any correspondence

Dear Resident

Please find enclosed a Hansard copy of my debate contribution on Planning in the House of Commons yesterday. I am sending it to you, knowing of your interest in this critical subject for our area.

You will see that I challenged both Local and National Government to urgently clarify and resolve all outstanding Cheshire East Local Plan preparation issues. Without completion of this Local Plan our precious green spaces and communities remain continuously under siege from developers.

Responding to the debate the Planning Minister agreed to my request for specific assistance – in the Minister's words 'to get the Plan to a point where it can pass examination' - to Cheshire East Council from his team, which includes a former Chief Planning Inspector.

I spoke immediately after the debate with the Leader of Cheshire East Council who has accepted this offer and I am arranging a meeting between all relevant parties as a matter of urgency.

With kind regards

Yours sincerely

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Fiona Bruce".

Fiona Bruce MP

Member of Parliament for the Congleton Constituency

www.fionabruce.mp

Serving communities across Alsager, Congleton, Goostrey, Holmes Chapel, Middlewich and Sandbach

Westminster Tel: 0207 219 7042 • Constituency Tel: 01260 274 044

Email: fiona.bruce.mp@parliament.uk • www.fionabruce.mp

Thursday
24 October 2013

Volume 569
No. 62



HOUSE OF COMMONS
OFFICIAL REPORT

PARLIAMENTARY
DEBATES

(HANSARD)

Thursday 24 October 2013

In Alsager alone, which is a town of some 5,500 houses, applications are in the pipeline for 3,000 dwellings. This is a town recently described by the chief planning officer of Cheshire East council as “currently unsustainable”. In Sandbach, which is a town of 8,000, some 6,000 applications have been granted or are in the pipeline. Just last week, two consents for Sandbach were granted, in Abbeyfields and Congleton road. That makes the consents already granted for Sandbach sufficient to cover one third of its 20-year supply. And those are on greenfield sites. This is countryside. This is prime agricultural land. The mayor of Sandbach is in the Chamber today, having come directly from 10 Downing street, where he presented a petition objecting to the Government’s policies.

There is then the unclear procedure surrounding the requirement for a five-year supply of housing. That is simply unjust. The primary reason for the two appeals granted last week was that Cheshire East apparently is unable to demonstrate a five-year housing supply, and yet the council told residents months ago that it had developed a robust strategic housing land availability assessment, which would satisfy requirements for a five-year housing supply.

Who is right—national Government, through the inspectorate, or local government? How was it that Cheshire East could say that it had demonstrated a five-year supply if clearly it had not? Is there no means by which such statements can be validated with central Government before they are made? Surely the only way cannot be for the strength of such a supply statement to be tested on appeal, because it adds insult to injury for thousands of pounds of local taxpayers’ money to be spent on such appeals, when it could be spent on meeting local people’s needs. There is so much confusion regarding the requirements that injustice is being introduced into our communities, particularly because there are other sites—brownfield and non-brownfield, including in Sandbach—that the local community have already said that they will accept for development.

That brings me to my next point. It is wholly wrong that people in the towns of Alsager, Congleton, Middlewich and Sandbach in my constituency were offered the opportunity and funding under the Government’s neighbourhood plan front-runner schemes to develop neighbourhood plans, only to find that those town plans count for absolutely nothing, in terms of the Planning Inspectorate’s decisions regarding appeals against developments.

The situation is also producing inconsistent decision making. Just last week, when two developers’ applications were accepted for Sandbach, we had a refusal for a site at Sandbach road north in Alsager. That was despite the inspectorate acknowledging the lack of a demonstrable five-year supply of deliverable housing in Cheshire East, and apparently, according to my interpretation, giving weight to the draft Cheshire East local plan, which other decisions refused to do. It stated:

“It would seem wise in this part of the borough not to proceed with development which would go beyond the draft strategy at this stage.”

The inspectorate also rejected the developer’s appeal on the grounds that it is in open countryside, and that harm to it would be significant and demonstrable. But so it would be to Abbeyfields, Congleton road and Hind Heath in Sandbach, which have already been

2.52 pm

Fiona Bruce (Congleton) (Con): I am here as a voice for my constituents, who feel grievously let down by the lack of clarity of the planning policy, practices and procedures of local and national Government. Only one thing is clear: despite more than 20 action groups representing thousands of people across my constituency, despite many public meetings, the most recent of which was held last night in Congleton town hall, despite my bringing successive leaders of Cheshire East council to meet Ministers for clarity on these issues, and despite countless letters having been sent to Ministers on behalf of constituents, we still have developer-led development in our area and unsustainable, unplanned development. It ignores town plans, places no weight on the emerging local plan and makes a mockery of localism.

The national planning policy framework, with its presumption of sustainable development, contains an inadequate definition of that—in fact, it is barely a definition at all—which certainly does not equate with my constituents’ definition. Sustainability means there being enough schools, roads, medical centres and facilities for local people, and there simply will not be enough if the rate of development continues in our towns.

granted. We really need clarity on these issues. How long should a local plan realistically take to develop? We pride ourselves in this country on clear and speedy delivery of justice. We say that justice delayed is justice denied. We talk about the rule of law. And yet, in planning, we could not have murkier, muddier waters. That is simply unfair.

Our local authority has been working for three years on a local plan. What has gone wrong? Why does the draft plan that was prepared last year, which was the subject of a six-week public consultation, now have to be radically altered and be the subject of a further public consultation, while all the time, developers rub their hands with glee and take advantage of that void? Will the Minister provide whatever assistance is required for Cheshire East council from a senior planning adviser to ensure that there are no further delays or confusion regarding what is required to get our local plan through? My constituents have had enough.

I also ask the Minister to ensure that we have clarity on our five-year housing supply numbers, and that a clear message is sent to the people of my constituency, as I have sought to provide for three years, giving them every and any necessary and available means of help to resolve those issues. My constituents simply cannot understand the situation. They feel angry, in despair, ignored, impotent as regards the plans for development of their own communities, and without any democratic recourse, as one has said to me, except the ballot box.

On behalf of the Under-Secretary of State for Education, my hon. Friend the Member for Crewe and Nantwich (Mr Timpson), I confirm that he, too, has been working tirelessly with planning action groups in his constituency, which is adjacent to mine, and also in Cheshire East. He recently arranged for the Planning Minister to speak to those groups so that they could hear the advice that the Department had for Cheshire East council on resolving the adoption of the local plan and housing supply. I would appreciate that advice and clarity being given today in the Minister's response.